



**San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Citizens' Advisory Committee**

MEETING MINUTES

**Tuesday, February 21, 2023
5:30 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.**

PARTICIPATE VIA ZOOM VIRTUAL CONFERENCE SOFTWARE

Meeting URL

<https://sfwater.zoom.us/j/81351537437?pwd=bWh1ejVodnpiZ09rSnVES25JMmZGdz09>

Phone Dial-in

669.219.2599

Find your local number: <https://sfwater.zoom.us/u/kbwFEr2FCG>

Meeting ID/Passcode

813 5153 7437 / 535460

This meeting is being held by Teleconference Pursuant to the Governor's Executive Order N-29-20 and the Sixteenth Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency Dated February 25,2020

During the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) emergency, the San Francisco Public Utilities Citizens Advisory Committee's (SFPUC CAC) regular meeting room, 525 Golden Gate Ave., 3rd Floor Tuolumne Conference Room, is closed. CAC Members and SFPUC staff will convene CAC meetings remotely by teleconference. Members of the public are encouraged to submit their public comment on agenda items in advance of the teleconference meeting by emailing comments to cac@sfwater.org. Comments submitted no later than 12 PM Tuesday the day of the meeting will be read into the record by SFPUC CAC Staffing Team members during the teleconference meeting and will be treated as a substitute to providing public comment during the meeting. Persons who submit written public comment in advance on an agenda item or items will not be permitted to also provide public comment on the same agenda item(s) during the meeting.

Mission: The purpose of the SFPUC CAC is to provide recommendations to the SFPUC General Manager, the SFPUC Commission, and the Board of Supervisors regarding the agency's long-term strategic, financial, and capital improvement plans ([Admin. Code Article XV, Sections 5.140 - 5.142](#))

Members:

Moisés García, Chair (D9)

VACANT (D1)
Suki Kott (D2)
Steven Kight (D3)
Douglas Jacuzzi (D4)
Emily Algire (D5)
Barklee Sanders (D6)
Joshua Ochoa (D7)
Amy Nagengast (D8)

VACANT (D10)
Jennifer Clary (D11)
Maika Pinkston (M-Environmental Org.)
Nicole Sandkulla (M-Regional Water Customers)
VACANT (M-Engineering/Financial)
Eliahu Perszyk (M-Large Water User)
Andrea Baker (B-Small Business)
Michelle Pierce (B-Environ. Justice)

London N. Breed
Mayor

Newsha Ajami
President

Sophie Maxwell
Vice President

Tim Paulson
Commissioner

Tony Rivera
Commissioner

Kate Stacy
Commissioner

Dennis J. Herrera
General Manager

OUR MISSION: To provide our customers with high-quality, efficient and reliable water, power and sewer services in a manner that values environmental and community interests and sustains the resources entrusted to our care.



D = District Supervisor appointed, M = Mayor appointed, B = Board President appointed

Staff Liaisons: Mayara Ruski Augusto Sa, Lexus Moncrease and Jotti Aulakh

Staff Email for Public Comment: cac@sfgwater.org

ORDER OF BUSINESS

1. Call to order and roll call at 5:31 pm

Members present at roll call: (9) García, Jacuzzi, Algire, Sanders, Nagengast, Clary, Sandkulla, Perszyk, and Baker

Members Absent: (5) Kott, Kight, Ochoa, Pinkston, and Pierce

Presenters/Staff: Commissioner Anthony Rivera, Tricia Yang, and Luke S. Fuller

Members of the Public: Peter Drekmeier and Jodi Soboll

2. Approve [January 17, 2023, Minutes](#)

Motion was made (Baker) and seconded (Sandkulla) to approve the January 17, 2023 Minutes.

AYES: (9) García, Jacuzzi, Algire, Sanders, Nagengast, Clary, Sandkulla, Perszyk, and Baker

NOES: (0)

ABSENT: (5) Kott, Kight, Ochoa, Pinkston, and Pierce

Public Comment:

- **Peter Drekmeier** commented that he had a correction for the January 17, 2023 Minutes. He noted that the 7% figure he cited should be 75% instead.

Motion was made by (Algire) and seconded by (Jacuzzi) to reopen this item.

Motion was made (Sandkulla) and seconded (Baker) to approve the January 17, 2023 Minutes as amended.

AYES: (9) García, Jacuzzi, Algire, Sanders, Nagengast, Clary, Sandkulla, Perszyk, and Baker

NOES: (0)

ABSENT: (5) Kott, Kight, Ochoa, Pinkston, and Pierce

Public Comment: None

3. Report from the Chair

- Welcome members, staff, and the public
- Ohlone Tribal Land Acknowledgement

- Member Ochoa (District 7 representative) has resigned from the CAC
- Thanked Staff Moncrease for organizing a meeting with Staff Ramirez regarding Camp Ida Smith
- Thanked Vice Chair Perszyk for proposing the questions for Commissioner Rivera to address
- Thanked Peter Drekmeier for offering to present to the CAC and noted that CAC members should look into the series of workshops relating to the Bay Delta Plan, the Tuolumne River Trust Voluntary Agreement, and water supply and demand hosted by the SFPUC in 2021

Public Comment: None

4. SFPUC Communications

- [Operating Budget Midcycle Changes for FY 2023-24](#)
- [Capital Budget FY 2023-24](#)
- [10-Year Capital Plan for FY 2023-24 to FY 2032-33](#)
- [10-Year Financial Plan for FY 2023-24 through FY 2032-33](#)
- [SFPUC Hiring Process Overview](#)
- [BAWSCA Drought Update \(January 24, 2023\)](#)
- Water Enterprise
 - [Drought Conditions Update \(February 6, 2023\)](#)
 - [Annual Report on Dry Year Supply Progress Pursuant to AB 1823](#)
 - [Onsite Water Reuse Program Update for FY 2021-22](#)
- Wastewater Enterprise
 - [Green Infrastructure Grant Program: Board of Supervisors Q2 Update](#)
- Power Enterprise
 - [Connection to PG&E Grid and Related Disputes: Board of Supervisors Q2 Report](#)

Member Sanders commented that Treasure Island is currently experiencing power outages since 3:21 pm and provided the following link: <https://evb.gg/n#6qdppk2fnq>. He noted that there was an estimated 200-300 housing units currently impacted and that this was the third power outage this year. Sanders provided the following link: <http://treasureislandsfpoweroutages.com> and a link to the resolution approved by the Power Subcommittee, which he noted will be brought to the Full CAC in March: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1snCP_dy6W3shTkE0i8fZFK-6FaGnQpAN/preview.

Public Comment:

- **Peter Drekmeier** commented that he submitted a letter to the SFPUC about the budget. He added that the Tuolumne River Trust is asking the SFPUC to adopt reasonable demand projections and remove one year from the design drought because rates will be going up a great deal within the next ten years. Drekmeier noted that the Tuolumne River Trust asked this of the SFPUC previously when the SFPUC was approving the 2021 Urban Water Management Plan because the decisions would affect the numbers, but nothing happened. He added that the Urban Water Management Plan was submitted to the State on July 1st, and two weeks later, there was a workshop on demand management where staff acknowledged that the numbers in the Urban Water Management Plan were not demand projections but were an outside envelope if everything that was planned was developed.

Drekmeier commented that this would not happen and was the worst-case scenario. He noted that the SFPUC later acknowledged that their finance team has always been more accurate than the Water Bureau and even the finance team had over projected. Drekmeier added that this is the focus of the letter, and they have a window of opportunity before July 1st, when the Alternative Water Supply Plan is finalized.

5. **Public Comment:** Members of the public may address the Committee on matters that are within the Committee’s jurisdiction and are not on today’s agenda

Public Comment:

- **Peter Drekmeier** commented that he won his complaint against the SFPUC with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force on February 1, 2023. He noted that the SFPUC was found to be in violation of the Sunshine Ordinance when they denied Drekmeier’s information request due to attorney client communications. Drekmeier added that the SFPUC was directed to provide the information on rationing figures used in a petition for the water quality certification. He commented that he has received some information since then but no explanation for how the numbers have come up. Drekmeier noted that the SFPUC found that in certain years, they would be entitled to less water than was used in that year for which the term “rationing” was used. He commented that this is not the case because there is so much storage, and the purpose of storage is to carry it over to borrow if the following year is dry.

6. **Discussion and Possible Action:** [Resolution Making Findings to Allow Teleconferenced Meetings Under California Government Code Section 54953\(e\)](#), Moises Garcia, Full CAC Chair

Motion was made (Perszyk) and seconded (Clary) to adopt the resolution.

The motion PASSED with the following votes:

AYES: (9) García, Jacuzzi, Algire, Sanders, Nagengast, Clary, Sandkulla, Perszyk, and Baker

NOES: (0)

ABSENT: (5) Kott, Kight, Ochoa, Pinkston, and Pierce

Public Comment: None

7. **Discussion: Commissioner Update - Commissioner Anthony Rivera, SFPUC**

Introduction

- **Chair García** commented that Commissioner Rivera was born and raised in San Francisco’s Mission District and is a 28-year veteran of the San Francisco Fire Department. The Commissioner ran the Bureau of Equipment, where he managed the San Francisco Fire Department’s fleet of vehicles and procured firefighting equipment. He incorporated green technology into the Department’s fleet, added solar panels to all the fire department vehicles, replaced gasoline powered rescue tools to electric, and introduced significant emission reduction

features to large vehicles in the fleet. The Commissioner reached the rank of Assistant Deputy Chief, where he managed the Department's capital projects, supervised purchasing contracts, and was the liaison to the SFPUC where he worked on hydraulic modeling for the extension and upgrading of the emergency firefighting water system and for disaster preparedness. He also managed the Fire Department's Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response Bond Water Project, including the refurbishment of the SFPUC's two large capacity saltwater pump stations, cisterns, and the design and placement of water for manifolds along the bay and TI for fire boat connections. Additionally, the Commissioner served on Local 798's board where he supported labor rights and participated in collective bargaining. Commissioner Rivera joined the SFPUC Commission last October, and his term expires in August 2024.

Presentation

- **Question 1:** How does your experience impact your interests and priorities for the SFPUC?

Commissioner Rivera responded that he worked with the SFPUC as a liaison on the emergency firefighting water system. He commented that he cares about public safety which translates into expanding the firefighting system and providing access to clean drinking water for all City residents. He noted that with the upturn of large developments in the City, there is old infrastructure that needs to be upgraded. He added that he represents the citizens of San Francisco and is aware of issues with water and Power, so he has good insight not only as a user but also as someone looking at the potential for public safety from the SFPUC.

- **Question 2:** Based on prior capital programs, the SFPUC has had a challenge of delivering the programs on time and within budget. Realizing this, the SFPUC is doing some internal investigations to right size future capital programs. What leverage do you see as essential in this capital rebalancing effort?

Commissioner Rivera responded that this issue has come to the forefront at Commission meetings and there has been a discussion about re-examining the whole process. He commented that many of the rules, regulations, and policies for large capital projects were implemented years ago following the City's administrative code, which has led to challenges in implementing those policies currently. Commissioner Rivera added that the SFPUC was in a difficult position due to supply chain issues, skilled labor, and the rising cost of building goods with the increase of inflation. Commissioner Rivera noted that when working on capital projects in San Francisco, it can be difficult to know the full extent of the project until construction starts. He commented that the SFPUC is looking internally to understand what they can do to make the process more efficient, forecast better, and put forth capital projects that are within their scope. Commissioner Rivera noted that when a project does go over budget, it is better to have a project that is delayed and will last than to speed up the process.

- **Question 3:** With your prior work on implementing emission reducing policies at the SFFD (San Francisco Fire Department), what aspects or areas of the SFPUC have significant potential for emission reductions, and which of those are you most excited about?

Commissioner Rivera responded that he is excited about everything. He commented that he would like to see emission reductions to large vehicles, which expose the City citizens and workers to emissions. Commissioner Rivera added that technology has improved and now there are power tools that are equal to or better than gas powered tools. He noted that emergency LED (light emitting diode) lighting is also more efficient. Commissioner Rivera commented that as new policies and equipment are implemented, it ignites a feeling within staff and the labor force to implement healthier options, which is what happened at the SFFD. He added that there is a great deal of potential for the SFPUC to implement new ideas and make their fleet and power tools green.

- **Question 4:** What is your opinion on the alternative water supply program proposed for future recycled water production of 2 million gallons per day on the west side and 2 million gallons per day on the east side?

Commissioner Rivera responded that he supports it because they should do anything possible to reduce water usage and make recycled water more efficient. He commented that the program still needs work, and he would like to see the details and impact of implementing the program.

- **Question 5:** What are your thoughts on the SFPUC's internal justice, equality, diversity, and inclusion efforts.

Commissioner Rivera responded that he has been impressed and sees diversity on the management team and the workforce, which is one of the strengths of the SFPUC. He commented that this is an extremely important topic for the SFPUC, and they are prioritizing their equity, diversity, and inclusion efforts. Commissioner Rivera noted that there are apprenticeship programs that reach out to local youth, and there is a need to engage SF's young people while also retaining the diverse workforce.

- **Question 6:** Staff retention and hiring are critical to the success of the SFPUC. What policies or initiatives do you see as most promising to impact hiring or retention? Furthermore, has the SFPUC staff had conversations about civil service reform?

Commissioner Rivera responded that the SFPUC's HR (Human Resources) gave a great presentation regarding this topic. He acknowledged that the SFPUC was having issues with staff retention and that hiring has been difficult considering the great resignation and people moving out of California. Commissioner Rivera noted that hiring of people is one of the largest challenges because it can take over 250 days for an individual to get hired according to the civil service code. He added that the SFPUC has implemented temporary hiring and has an apprenticeship program, but there needs to be a change within the City's HR policy to recognize that the process needs to be streamlined because there is competition and potential candidates cannot wait that long to be hired. Commissioner Rivera commented that the Mayor's recent press release stated that she would support changes within DHR (Department of Human Resources) to implement changes that will make the system more efficient. He noted that the presentation by the SFPUC's HR could be seen on SFGovTV and includes facts and figures regarding potential changes for the hiring process.

- **Question 7:** While TIDA (Treasure Island Development Authority) alone has the authority to address issues related to the power grid on Treasure Island (TI) and Yerba Buena Island (YBI), the SFPUC is involved in fixing the unstable powered route for both islands. What is your take on the SFPUC's role in helping to alleviate power outages on TI and YBI?

Commissioner Rivera responded that TI had another power outage that same day, and he is familiar with the power outages there due to his position with the SFFD. Commissioner Rivera noted that the SFPUC supplies the power, but all the internal electrical distribution service systems are owned and managed by TIDA, which were inherited from the Navy. He added that part of the redevelopment plan is to replace the old electrical switches, transformers, and wiring conduits, but redevelopment has rolled out slowly. Commissioner Rivera commented that it would be financially irresponsible of TIDA to start replacing aged electrical equipment knowing that it would be replaced soon by the developer. He acknowledged that it was a tough time for TI residents, and there are issues with public safety as well. Commissioner Rivera commented that less of these problems will occur as the development process moves forward, but it is a huge undertaking to upgrade all the infrastructure. He noted that the SFPUC has advised TIDA, but TIDA is responsible for making the decisions.

- **Question 8:** What are your thoughts on the Commission's decision to move general public comment to the end of their meetings?

Commissioner Rivera responded that that he was unsure about it. He commented that people would provide public comment on a certain issue multiple times, which was not an efficient process. Commissioner Rivera noted that the Commission is using this time as a trial period to see if this new method will be more efficient. He added that there was a devoted group of commenters that comment regularly, which the Commission is open to, but perhaps a written letter would convey the message better than the two minutes provided for public comment.

Discussion

- **Member Sanders** commented that no one should have to wait 25 years for redevelopment, and power lines that are only five to eight years old are being torn down for new condos. He then provided the following links: <https://thefrisc.com/treasure-islands-power-problems-won-t-end-with-the-flip-of-a-switch-eddb155888e7> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ziYBu1msFYA&t=5874s>. Sanders commented that TI is currently experiencing a power outage, which is the third power outage of the year. He asked Commissioner Ramirez what an acceptable number of outages is for a community to experience and noted that TI residents have seen a temporary power line put up for the new development on YBI.

Commissioner Rivera responded that there should be zero outages and noted that many people rely on that power for medical devices, heat, and alarm issues. He added that TIDA is facing some challenges, but he does not have insight on TIDA's decision making and the frequent power outages. Commissioner Ramirez emphasized that it was an issue that needed to be addressed.

Member Sanders commented that TIDA does not have oversight from the local level to the federal level. He then provided an example of how the Mayor and other departments authorized the use of \$20 million to

fix piping issues on Stern Grove. Sanders noted that TI has experienced a power outage every two to three weeks for 25 years and similar measures cannot be used to upgrade the grid on TI as if the many power outages were acceptable. He commented that TIDA has never reported on what the cost would be to upgrade the grid. Sanders asked why the SFPUC, and its Commissioners did not ask for an emergency to be declared and for funds to provide reliable electricity to the population of TI, which consists of minorities, including people that live below the poverty line, veterans, and youth that are experiencing homelessness.

Public Comment:

- **Jodi Soboll** asked if the SFPUC was looking at alternate scenarios to update critical power to existing problem areas.

Member Sanders responded that TIDA does not want to fix the problem for current residents because they want to wait another 5 to 15 years for new apartments to be built. He noted options were to remove TIDA from the approval process for any grid related upgrades or purchase assets from TIDA like how the SFPUC is purchasing assets from PG&E.

- **Jodi Soboll** asked if the SFPUC could provide technical expertise to identify common failure causes or critical distribution systems that could be upgraded, without having to upgrade the entire system.

Member Sanders responded that they could, but the issue is TIDA has not agreed to upgrade the grid. He added that the issue with TIDA is like how PG&E did not want to maintain lines in Paradise and burned down the whole city, but at least PG&E has local, state, and federal oversight, which TIDA does not. Sanders noted that President Biden and the Mayor enacted a local Proclamation of Emergency to Ensure Eligibility for Disaster Relief Funding but did not use that funding for TI even though TI had power outages during that time. Sanders then provided the following links: <https://sf.gov/news/city-issues-retroactive-local-proclamation-emergency-ensure-eligibility-disaster-relief>, <https://www.treasureislandsfpoweroutages.com/progress>, and <https://medium.com/@celions/lack-of-political-will-keeps-treasure-island-residents-in-the-dark-1c6a6896fcb8>.

8. **Presentation and Discussion: [Capital Planning and Delivery Program](#)**,
Tricia Yang, SFPUC Director of Strategy, Innovation and Change

Presentation

- Capital Planning and Delivery Program
- Agenda
- Recap: Budget Context
- Capital Plan Development Process
- Capital Planning and Delivery Program: Background & Goals
- Phase III Scope
- Timeline

Discussion

- **Member Sanders** asked if topics such as budget appropriation and financial stability include TI and other redevelopment zones.

Staff Yang responded that this was all about the SFPUC's processes for developing their CIP (Capital Improvement Plan). She noted that TI is included to the extent that the Power Enterprise has capital projects that are related to it, which would be impacted by the improvements to their internal process.

- **Member Sanders** asked how close the relationship is between TIDA and the SFPUC so that he could understand how the grid will be made more reliable.

Staff Yang responded that she would investigate this topic further.

- **Member Sandkulla** asked how the SFPUC is going to report out to the Commission in intervening periods. She commented that there were quarterly CIP reports that discuss a particular project's progress, but it does not discuss the program changes. Sandkulla also asked how the SFPUC was going to judge success during the intervening periods.

Staff Yang responded that the first milestone for report outs will be in June at the kickoff of the next two-year budget cycle. She noted that the SFPUC chose this timing because it will be after they have developed their first set of deliverables and have had a chance to consider implementing them into the next two-year budget cycle. Staff Yang added that at that point, the SFPUC would have vetted both the initial set of recommendations and figured out what it would take to roll it out. She commented that some of these changes are about the engagement of staff and their capacity to absorb a new process or system change, which is why the SFPUC planned their first report out for June. Staff Yang noted that they have not set the future report out timeline but are considering it internally, and it may be mapped to six monthly increments with goals for each period.

- **Staff Fuller** commented that some of the most impactful ideas that have emerged from these groups and teams are deeply rooted. He noted that some have pointed out that for a process change to work, new roles need to be clearly mapped onto existing staff or recruited for, which could take months to sort out. Staff Fuller added that changes in classifications or looking for new recruitment can extend the timeline even more because the recruitment process is long and complex.
- **Member Sandkulla** asked if the SFPUC has identified intermediate metrics to compare their progress against and how those metrics will be presented to the Commission and the public.

Staff Yang responded that the SFPUC is setting metrics for themselves for each six-month period, some of which are binary, produce a new process, or have shortened the timeline for a particular contract. She commented that some of the metrics that the SFPUC has set for themselves will take longer than six months to achieve, so they will hold onto that metric and have it for the next six-month period. Staff Yang added that to make sure this is measurable progress, the SFPUC is trying to hold themselves to a six-month review cycle and be explicit about what they are trying to achieve in each cycle, which is about the incremental deliverables. She noted that regarding their impact on their capacity to deliver and the impact on their budget, they are looking at how the SFPUC reviewed progress under the governance and standards piece. Staff Yang added that they have some existing metrics, but they want it to be more consistent across the agency, which will take some time. She commented that the

SFPUC's goal is to be able to see whether they are improving on a yearly basis with their capacity to deliver. Staff Yang noted that they will not get to this in the first six months or first year but are hoping to get to it within the time period of this project.

- **Member Sandkulla** asked if the SFPUC anticipates a six-month report to the Commission on the progress of the project.

Staff Yang responded that they have not decided that yet, but it sounds reasonable because that is when they will have enough to report out on for each period.

Member Sandkulla commented that it would be appropriate because if one six-month period and the following six-month is missed, then they are already due mid cycle CIP at which point they will be reporting something, and it would not be good to have a surprise.

- **Member Nagengast** asked how many low impact things have surfaced versus things that would take a long time.

Staff Yang responded that the SFPUC does have some low impact things that they are addressing right away, such as their financial system. She commented that due to how their chart of accounts are set up for some of their divisions, it makes it difficult to move funding across projects when there is unspent balance that is no longer needed because there are many administrative steps to go through. Staff Yang added that the SFPUC is actively working with the Controller's Office to remove that administrative burden.

- **Member Clary** commented that she liked the idea of the six-month segment and asked how the SFPUC planned to track deadlines.

Staff Yang responded that the SFPUC is aware of this challenge, and there are many demands, which is why they have tried to structure this formally. She added that the same staff working on this initiative is also the same staff that is buried due to retention issues, so they are doing the best they can with the resources available.

- **Member Clary** asked how the SFPUC will track the creeping deadline because it can be frustrating when a project keeps getting pushed out every six months.

Staff Yang responded that the SFPUC has an intake tracker. She commented that they can only handle so much within a given period, which they do take note of and intend to keep revisiting.

- **Chair García** asked what sort of capacity Staff Yang's team lacked regarding the improvement program.

Staff Yang responded that the team consists of her and Staff Fuller, and the program is structured so that they have partners across the agency digging into the issues and implementing with them. She commented that for additional resources, they have a work order that will kick off soon which will help with a research piece regarding staffing and resource planning. Staff Yang noted that it was her and Staff Fuller partnering with subject matter experts from across the SFPUC who also are managing additional full scopes.

Public Comment:

- **Jodi Soboll** commented that capital programs and projects all need to track money, time, and resources. She recommended that the SFPUC report not only on the budget but also on people, money, and resources if that is an issue because that will affect how time and money is used.

9. **Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action:** [Resolution in Support of Continuing Remote Public Comment](#), CAC Member Jennifer Clary

Presentation

- **Member Clary** commented that Supervisor Mandelman had proposed a resolution to limit remote public comment except for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. She stressed the importance of public comment and noted that as a Citizens' Advisory Committee, they welcome public comment and want more public engagement. Member Clary commented that this resolution was to emphasize that the CAC wanted the SFPUC to continue remote public comment and that they intend to continue remote public comment at their meetings even when all the members are attending the meetings in person.

Discussion

- **Member Sandkulla** asked how feasible it was to have a hybrid meeting from a technical and practical standpoint.

Member Clary responded that there might be some audio issues.

- **Member Sanders** commented that the technology already exists for members of the public to call in and is the best approach to keep the community involved.
- **Chair García** commented that it is important to see if the room is equipped for hybrid meetings.

Member Nagengast responded that the Rate Fairness Board meets in that same room and has successfully been holding hybrid meetings.

- **Member Sandkulla** commented that in the last Further Resolved clause, "CAC will continue to allow" should be changed to "CAC intends to continue to allow." The above change was made.

Motion was made (Baker) and seconded (Sandkulla) to adopt the resolution as amended.

The motion PASSED with the following votes:

AYES: (9) García, Jacuzzi, Algire, Sanders, Nagengast, Clary, Sandkulla, Perszyk, and Baker

NOES: (0)

ABSENT: (5) Kott, Kight, Ochoa, Pinkston, and Pierce

Public Comment:

- **Peter Dreke** commended Member Clary for bringing the resolution forward because it is exactly in line for the CAC to be a conduit for members of the public to weigh in on issues related to the SFPUC. He noted that the SFPUC represents many people outside of

San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, and Alameda counties. Drekmeier added that because he lives in Palo Alto, it would be challenging for him to attend a meeting in person.

10. Staff Report

- Reminder that District 1, District 7, District 10, and the Engineering/Finance seats are vacant
- The next CAC meeting will be held in person and all CAC members will be escorted to the conference room following building procedures
- The SFPUC has the technical capabilities to hold a hybrid meeting

Public Comment:

- **Jodi Soboll** commented that she has applied to the Mayor's Engineering/Finance seat, but her application has not moved forward.

Member Clary responded that the subcommittees could have members of public appointed as members by a vote from the Full CAC.

11. Future Agenda Items and Resolutions

- [CAC Advance Calendar](#)

Public Comment: None

12. Announcements/Comments Please visit www.sfpuc.org/cac for confirmation of the next scheduled meeting, agenda, and materials.

- **Chair García** commented that the deadline for Project Pull was next Tuesday and provided the following link: <https://sf.gov/apply-internship-project-pull>.
- **Member Sanders** commented that power had been restored to T1, which had been out since 3 pm, and provided the following link: <https://evb.gg/n#mzzzzbneoy>.

Public Comment: None

13. Adjournment

Motion was made (García) and seconded (Nagengast) to adjourn the meeting.

Meeting was adjourned at 7:21 pm.