	MINUTES
	Public Utilities Revenue Bond Oversight Committee
	Monday, March 16, 2009
	San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
11	55 Market Street (between 7 th & 8 th Streets), 4th Floor Conference Room
	Committee Members
	Aimee Brown, Chair
	Kyle Rhorer, Vice Chair
	Brian Browne
	Stan Jones
	David Sutter
	Patrick Sweetland
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call
	Chair Aimee Brown called the meeting to order at 9:35 a.m. and roll call was taken.
	Present: Aimee Brown, Kyle Rhorer, Brian Browne, Stan Jones (9:40 a.m.), David Sutter (9:41 a.m.) and Patrick Sweetland.
	Absent: None.
	Absent. None.
	There was a quorum.
2.	Public Comment: Members of the public may address the RBOC on matters that are within the RBOC's jurisdiction and are not on today's agenda
	Public Comment: None.
3.	Report from Manfred Wong, SFPUC, concerning the Wastewater Five-Year Capital Improvement Program
	Manfred Wong, SFPUC, presented a report on the Wastewater Five-Year
	Capital Improvement Program.
	The Five-Year Wastewater Capital Improvement Program addressed
	immediate wastewater needs in the areas of flood control, odor control,
	and aging facilities.
	There are two major categories identified in the 5-year CIP (1) the sewer
	improvement projects and (2) the aging infrastructure and odor control projects.
	Approximately 70% of the projects are in construction or have already
	been completed.

Mr. Wong provided an overview of the various wastewater projects.

Mr. Sutter requested information concerning timeliness of the quarterly reports. Mr. Wong stated that the quarterly reports are usually available after two PUC meetings are held, but can still take up to three months after the end of the quarter to be produced.

Public Comment: None.

4. Discussion and possible action relating to the Indirect Cost Study

Charles Perl, Acting Finance Director, SFPUC, provided an update on the Indirect Cost Study.

The SFPUC has received a draft of the Indirect Cost Study and is currently reviewing the report. A final version of the report should be available for the next RBOC meeting.

Public Comment: None.

5. Report from SFPUC staff concerning an update on the various national stimulus plans and its effect on WSIP programs

Nathan Purkiss, Government Relation Manager, Molly Petrick, Water Enterprises, and Manfred Wong, SFPUC, provided an update on the national stimulus plans and its effect on WSIP programs.

The SFPUC was able to influence a portion of Bill HR1 that requires that no less than 50% of state revolving funds must be dispersed through grants or loan forgiveness. Instructions concerning how to apply for certain stimulus funds are still vague and pending further clarification. Allocation amounts, project types, and qualification standards are still being discussed. However, projects that are applying for stimulus funding must be out of the planning stages and ready to begin construction within a year.

Mr. Sutter's asked what is the total dollar value of WSIP projects that are applying for stimulus funding; Mr. Purkiss stated that the dollar value is approximately 54.3 million dollars. Mr. Sutter also questioned whether the state revolving fund needs state approval and the response was affirmative. Todd Rydstrom, CFO and Assistant General Manager, SFPUC, responded to Mr. Sutter's question concerning coordinating the bond sale program with the potential of future stimulus funding by stating that the additional funding sources through stimulus funding will be used to decrease the need for bond funding for WSIP and capital improvement projects.

93		Mr. Sutter requested a brief monthly update on the national stimulus plans
94 95		and its effect on WSIP programs.
96 97		Public Comment: None.
98 99 100	6.	Discussion and possible action regarding the approval of the minutes from the meeting held on February 23, 2009
101 102		Mr. Sweetland moved, seconded by Mr. Rhorer, to allow Mr. Browne to abstain from voting on this item.
103 104 105		Ayes: Chair Brown; Rhorer; Jones; Sutter; Sweetland Noes: None.
106 107		Excused: Browne.
107 108 109		The motion to allow Mr. Browne to abstain passed.
110 111		Mr. Jones moved, seconded by Mr. Sweetland, to approve the minutes of the February 23, 2009 meeting as amended.
112 113 114		Ayes: Chair Brown; Rhorer; Jones; Sutter; Sweetland Noes: None.
115 116		Abstained: Browne.
117 118		The motion to approve the minutes as amended passed.
119 120		Public Comment: None
121 122	7.	Discussion and possible action relating to RBOC member information requests raised in today's meeting
123 124 125		Mr. Browne's document was included as part of the minutes.
126 127 128		Mr. Sutter inquired as to the requirements for Sunshine training and Ethics Commission's Form 700.
129 130		Public Comment: None
131	8.	Discussion and possible action for future agenda items
132 133 134		Mr. Sutter requested a brief monthly update on the national stimulus plans and its effect on WSIP programs.
135 136		Mr. Sutter requested a brief monthly update on WSIP project.
137 138		Mr. Sutter requested a discussion on the possible extension of the sunset date for the RBOC and requested an opinion from the City Attorney as to

139 140		how to reconcile the completion date of the WSIP projects to match the sunset date of the RBOC.
141 142 143 144		Ken Roux, Deputy City Attorney, responded to the question concerning the extension of the sunset date for the RBOC and will inform the City Attorney's Office of the RBOC's request.
145 146 147		Mr. Browne requested a presentation on the Master Water Sales Agreement and the price of elasticity of demand.
147 148 149 150		Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. Sutter moved to scheduling a hearing on the entirety of the Master Water Sales Agreement in May of 2009.
151 152		Ayes: Chair Brown; Jones; Sutter
153 154 155		Noes: Rhorer, Browne. Absent: Sweetland
156 157 158		The motion to hold a hearing on the entirety of the Master Water Sales Agreement failed.
159 160 161		Mr. Browne, seconded by Mr. Rhorer moved to schedule a preview presentation on the Master Water Sales Agreement in April of 2009 not to exceed 15 minutes including committee members questions and answer
162 163 164		period and to schedule a second hearing in May of 2009 for a unrestricted and complete review of the Master Water Sales Agreement.
165 166 167		Ayes: Chair Brown; Rhorer, Browne, Jones; Sutter Noes: None Absent: Sweetland
168 169 170		The motion to schedule a preview hearing in April of 2009 and a complete hearing in May of 2009 on the Master Water Sales Agreement passed.
171 172 173		Public Comment: None
174 175	9.	Adjournment
176 177		Mr. Jones moved, seconded by Mr. Browne, to adjourn the meeting.
178 179 180		Ayes: Chair Brown; Rhorer, Browne, Jones; Sutter Noes: None Absent: Sweetland
181 182 183		The meeting adjourned at 11:32 a.m.
184		

```
185
186
187
          Mr. Browne's Attachment (e-mail sent by Mr. Browne on 2/25/09):
188
189
    Ms. Brown -
190
     Chair - RBOC
191
192
     I turned up today at the SFPUC and learned I had written
193
     down the wrong date. I regret this failure to show,
194
     apologize, and can only explain this organizational failure
195
     on a very nasty cold. I wanted to attend. I had some
196
     questions based on the agenda.
197
     BAWSCA passed AB1823 and when it sunset, passed AB2428 in
198
     2008. Both AB1823 and AB2437 have two firm dates for
199
     expenditure completion 2010 -50% and 2015 100%.
200
    Mr. Jensen does not see these benchmarks as triggers. Then
201
    why write them into law? BAWSCA also mandates in both
202
     AB1823 and now AB2058 the water/power policy for the Hetch
203
     Hetchy system. So does the Federal Raker Act of 1913. Is
204
     there a conflict between the state and federal Acts? BAWSCA
205
     (2002 AB2058) is able to absorb SFPUC-RWS (in exchange we
206
     receive 30% governance)
207
     We are entering the final lap for the Master Water Sales
208
    Agreement (1984 to 2009). The allocation of volumes from
209
     the HH system will impact rates based on revenue bond
210
     expenditures. SFPUC is assuming average Hetch Hetchy system
211
     deliveries of 265MGD and hence a continuation of the 1884
212
     184/81 MGD split between the peninsula and city.
213
     In 2000, a combined task force of SFPUC and BAWUA (now
214
     BAWSCA) estimated annual average system reliability at 239
215
    MGD (RWS system has not really been enhanced since). Since
216
     1984, when the previous MWSA was signed (a good run of wet
217
     years), the average system delivery was 250 MGD. I had
218
     data to present today, for the RBOC's consideration, to
219
     challenge the accuracy of these key CIP volumetric
220
     assumptions assumptions. These assumptions impact the rate
221
     quotients.
222
     Volumes are the denominator in the price quotient.
223
     Projected rates for revenue bond debt service must be
224
     realistic. On this subject the SFPUC is now estimating rate
225
     elasticities using econometric techniques. Better late than
226
     never - it has taken me nearly 10 years of badgering. The
227
     suggestion that 265 MGD was spurious and that if current
228
     elasticities or future elasticities (the longer a high
229
     price persists the greater becomes the demand elasticity)
230
     were greater than -1 seemed (less total revenues and an
231
     impact on the ability to pay down the debt) to generate
```

- 232 some less than positive comments by Mr. Jensen about
- 233 economists, at least all he has worked with.
- 234 I hope you can put an agenda item reflecting my above
- 235 concerns. I will also ask that my notes be included.
- 236 Again, my apologies for missing the meeting.
- 237 Brian Browne